App. F. IX-4

1940

193 .

File No. 19-40

Serial Nos. 23, 27

Previous References

C.B. 210 - 38 (1-2, 5, 1)
" 5-38 (16, 23 - 44)
Ch. 42-39 (5, 7, 8) (1-4) (Contain Sls: 9, 10, 11 of 42/39)
J & A. 575-39 (1-2)
CB. 103-36 (16)

Later References.

Ch 240-40 (1)
246-41 (2-4)
Ch 246-41 (5)
CB 265-46-2
Sir D. 180-47-182

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two only

Part B for March 1941

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. A. R. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, Retired Munsiff.

WD 0578—GPB—22,500—12-9-89

B.B. Shankaran
7.5.41

1090/40 P 287 CH.

General and Revenue Secretariat S&A File No. 46 of 1940. [App. F. III-2

Serial No.	
1.	Petition Dated 5-7-40 from Mr. A.S. Gowndar praying that in the circumstances mentioned he may be granted full pension of Rs 225/- or at least a pension of Rs 150/-

The prayers of the petitr are -

1) that for his service in the Jail Deptt 3 years may be added under Rule 262 (2) Rules so as to give him a pension of Rs 142/8/- for 19 yrs service or 2) that he may be treated on a par with Mr. A Ananda Rao in this matter & granted enhanced arbitrary pension of Rs 150/-.

Mr. Gowndar aptr was retired on invalid G.O. No 3850-1/Cts. 210-38-2 dt. 6.6.39 without application of Rule 220 MSR. He has been granted a pension (Compassionate) of Rs 112-6-0 for a service of 15 yrs, 2 mths & 25 days.

H B.

G.O. S&A. 615-39-2 H K

On a representation of this officer in July 1939 all the aspects pointed by him were considered & it was stated that the requests could not be complied with.

Cts Courts 42-39-(1-4) H W.

The case of Mr. A Ananda Rao quoted in the last paragraph of the petn was treated as a Special one; the pension finally sanctioned being Rs 70/- p.m. Subd for orders.

Cts. 163-36-16 H T.

The points raised appear to have been considered fully already in Courts 42-39-1 to 4. If necessary this representation may be referred to the advise Secrett. for examn. & further examn.

3/8/40

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Yes A.R. 4/8/40

Mr. Br

Fin Sec) CPA 10/8

3728 P Rs

1859/H

Petition of Mr A. S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar

Retired Munsiff

Bangalore

Petition dated Nil

Prays for the grant of full pension

Mr. A. S Govindrajulu Mudaliar represents that he has put in 15 years and odd months of ~~qualifying~~ service & qualifying for pension. In this, he requests that the period of 3 years of service has put in as a lawyer may kindly be added so as to enable him to draw at least Rs 142-80 as pension.

The previous petition of the applicant of bearing Diary No 297/H dated 17.7.40 has been transferred to the Protection Branch Subd for orders. Mem be refd to Prot Br

Rs 17/12 BR

17/12

Yes

GR

17/12

Protn Br

× 1090/P 840 — BR 15/8/40

SSC-V.6(B)/701

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 194 | [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

Representation of Mr A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar Retired Munsiff praying for Revision of his Case.

(2) Representation of Mr A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar praying for expunging certain remarks passed in the G.O. Dt 6.6.1939 ordering his retirement.

Flag X — The previous petition of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar was forwarded to the High Court for disposal and the subsequent memorials of the Office were ordered to be filed.

Flags Z & 2 — ~~Consider the present petition & the above prayer for~~ the 2) Reference is invited to the analysis of points of appeal of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar enclosed to his petition.

W

3) For orders as to whether any action need be taken in the matter

(CSR 111(i) proviso) 4) The whole case has been thrashed out & I see no reason why the matter may not be re-opened. If necessary the Officer may be seen by the High Court.

Law Secy) 3/11/40

7794/11/5

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Memorials of Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar 70

Cls File No. 42 of 1939

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

(5) The question of appointing a Commission or holding an enquiry cannot be reconsidered. Final orders have been passed on 2-11-39. Mr. Govindarajulu prays that the remarks relating to his character in G.O may be deleted as otherwise it will be impossible for him to secure any other decent appointment even elsewhere.

The opinion of the High Court may perhaps be called for in this respect.

A.

10-649

I Member) Hm 12/5 MMM 13/5

(6) MMM 16/5/40

Hm 17/5/40

(7) Draft as A & C — High Court 3 17/5

Mr G.S.V.

(8) Submitted with a draft to the Registrar High Court.

(9) Hm 3 MM/5/1

24.5.1940

To

The Registrar High Court

No P 7568 d 30.5.40

TYPING SECTION 24-MAY-1940 REN. & REV. SECTT.

Memorial of Mr A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar 705 & 824
Retd. Munsiff

General and Revenue Secretariat | C.S. File No. 4 2 of 1939 | [App. F. III–2

Serial No.	
	Calls for the opinion of the High Court regarding the deletion of a clause relating to the character of Mr A. S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar
11	From The Registrar High Court Roc No 1386 Dt 24-6-1940 Forwards the opinion of the High Court in respect of the Memorial presented to Govt by Mr A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar praying that the remarks relating to his Character etc may be expunged.
12	Submitted for orders with reference to paras 5 & 6 of the notes (If approved, C.B. will be requested to put up the file relating to the retirement of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar).
13	(12) Please send for the file relating to the Retirement of the Mudaliar from the C.S. Branch & place it along with this file for further orders.
14	The CS for sending for the file 20/7/40 C.S. file 210-38-Sts 1,2,5 & 6 (C-C file 77 of 1938) is put up herewith Also CS 5-38-Sts 16, 20, 23 & 24 (CC 76-38)
15	(13) Now please put up a note 3

Two files of the CS herewith

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Need 22/7 CS

Sd/- 16/7/40 (Secret Branch)

Sd/- CS 16/7/1940

Serial No.

SC No 76 – 38-39
CC No 77 – 38-39

The Marginally noted Confidential Cirectives received from the Confidential Branch are placed below for kind reference.

1. Kind reference is invited to the G.O. Of 6.6.1939 directing the retirement Of the Officer from Service.

It Can be seen therefrom that the following are the grounds on which the retirement of the Officer was Ordered :-

(1) Delay in the disposal of Cases

(2) Submission of incomplete Statistical returns

With a View to hide his Short Comings and mislead his superiors.

(3) Ill health.

(4) Continuous unSatisfactory Work.

(5) ~~Inefficient~~ In Competency.

2) The prayer of Mr Govindarajulu Reddiar is that :-

(a) a regular Enquiry may be held on the Charges against him

(b) or the Charge may be withdrawn

(c) the G.O. directing his retirement may

Flag D

Representation 8-1-54 of Mr A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ch File No. 42 of 1939. | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

be modified by the deletion of such of the words as impugne his character

(d) the words in the G.O. Dt 6.6.1939 "to the Character mislead his Superiors" be deleted:

(19) The High Court may report that there is nothing in Mr. Govindarajulu Mudaliar's affidavit about an inquiry and that the information given to Govt about the Statistical returns was based on Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar's own explanations and admitted facts.

(20) Previous Memorials of the Officers may be ordered to be filed.

May O

(21) Submitted for orders with reference to paras 5-6 of the notes.

CPM 9/8

22) (Kind reference is also invited to discussions in paras 10 & 12 of the notes in Ch's Confl no 42-39-5-8 placed below)

May Y

an 1.11.40 Govt — Recd back on 1.11.41

Recd the file with instructions to call for a Statement referred to in G.O. dt 6-6-39

CPM 11/11

23) Issued with draft Press Communiqué as directed

Issued NO P 2433 Dt 11.11.40

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

CPM 1/11

Sd/- A

File No. of 194 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

From
The Registrar High Court
Roc NO 517 Dt 15. 11. 1940.

Forwards the Statement called for in Govt letter Dt 11. 11. 1940.

24) As personally instructed in connection with an interview of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar last with the Law Secretary to Govt, the Statement referred to in the Minute of the Chief Justice Dt 22. 12. 1938. was called for from the High Court and is laid herewith for perusal.

R

25) It can be seen from the Statement that in Col 8 the figures for the 6 months' old cases are shown as nil (some figures have inserted and struck off) The Chief Justice considers that the omission was a deliberate one.

Flag R (Pages 3-4 of Minute of Chief Justice)

26) It was been ordered that there is not a case for an enquiry by a Commission (Vide discussions in pages 10-12 of Cts file 92-39-5-8)

Flag Y

Serial No.

27 The prayers of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar are the following

(1) A regular enquiry may be held

(2) The G.O. directing his retirement might be modified by the deletion of such of the words as impugn his Character

(3) the words in the G.O. dt 6-6-39 re. the incorrect statements furnished by him might be deleted

(4) he may be given a pension of Rs 225/- or Rs 150/- p.m.

(linked file)

With regard to items 1 to 3 reference is invited to High Court letter dt 24-6-1940.

P

It has been ordered on former occasions that there is a case in which an enquiry by Commission is not called for.

Reference is also invited to discussions in paras 10 & 12 of the notes in Cts Coll^n 42-39-5-8)

Ft 4/4

28 With regard to the question of pension of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar, it is submitted that the question of affording him the benefit contemplated under

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

Art 262 of the M.S.R and of Counting the service put in by him in the University were considered on a former occasion in all its aspects, and it was ordered that neither of the requests was admissible (Vide discussions in paras 27 to 32 of the notes in Cts collection No 42-39-1-4 placed below). J (in 1 to 4)

29) It was considered that even the grant of pension of Rs 112-6-0 was itself a concession in view of the fact that the reduction in pension as contemplated under section 242-3 M.S.R was as a special case waived in his case.

Please see discussions in paras 51 & 52 of the notes in Cts. collection 5-38-16 (C.E. No 76 & 38) and also in para 30 of the notes in Cts. Coll^n No 42-39-1-5 placed below. M

J (limited file)

31) It is for orders as to whether any further orders are required in this behalf

(The officer has produced a Medical Certificate about his fitness for service and the opinion of of some Advocates re. his work and character)

Ld com 19/2

Representation of Mr A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar

3001

General and Revenue Secretariat | Cb File No. | of 194 | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

32) I have gone through the whole record - & I see that there are no justifiable grounds for interfering with the order passed by Govt. returning the Representation for correction.

33) The Representation contains very offensive & uncalled for remarks against the Govt. & the Judges of the High Court, & it is necessary that the Representation was returned. If these words are deleted then there is no other justification for returning the Representation for correction.

MAR 4 1941
8759

Under Secretary 3/3/41

60 53 3/3

34) There are no reasons to interfere the petition may be rejected

I. Member) Hm 4/3/41.

3 4/3/41

35) Rlm 5/3/41

3 6/3/41

36) Let him write a draft endorsement

37) Hm 3 8/3

To the Petr. - D.O. No P 4592-9-10-3-41 dismissing as above

TYPING SECTION 8 MAR. 1941 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Bm 14/3

SHA 48-40-1

GEN. & REV. SECR. 297 19 JULY 1940 FINANCIAL BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. Date of receipt 17.7.40 Petn. Regr. No. 219

FINANCIAL SECTION

From 17-7-40

A.S. Govindarajulu, M.A., B.L.,
Munsiff (Rtd.),
19 Kankanhalli Road,
Basavangudi, B A N G A L O R E.

To

The Dewan of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Honoured Sir,

I beg to submit that by my sudden retirement in my 47th year, I and my large family are stranded in life, in these hard war times. Three of my children are nearly coming up to college classes. Only Rs.8/- is left, after deducting the insurance premium. Insurance is the only provision for my family.

For my total unbroken service of 17 years and odd months which will be 18 years, if the shortage of a few months is condoned, I get credit only for 15 years, and only Rs.112-6-0 as pension.

As per G.O. my service as Assistant Professor does not at all count, as it was purely temporary. So if my temporary and acting appointments are excluded my first permanent appointment is that of a Munsiff. I was also a High Court Vakil, Madras. To my service as a Judge which will be 16 years, if a few months shortage is condoned, I I pray that under art. 262 (2) M.S.R, 3 years may be added kindly, as was done by a liberal interpretation and extension of the said art. in the case of Mr. H.M. Krishnaswamy who was also not retired on superannuation as he was 54 when retired. This will give me 19 years and a pension

of Rs.142-8-0.

As a matter of grace, I may be treated kindly as magnanimously as Mr. Annanda Rao, and in consideration of all the hard circumstances of my case, and in mitigation of the extremely drastic and exemplary punishment which, I humbly submit, I may not really deserve, I pray I may be given kindly my full pension of Rs.225/-, or it may be enhanced kindly to at least Rs.150/-. This will leave me Rs.45/- for my maintenance, after deducting my insurance premium.

I beg to remain,

Honoured Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A S Govindarajulu

Dated 5-7-40.

Encls. 5.
1. Medical Certificate)
2. Points of appeal)
3. Statistics)
4. Unanimous resolution of the Bar Association, Tumkur.)
5. The Mahajar signed by 95 members of the bar of Tumkur, & Mysore.)

SRI KRISHNA PHARMACY AND LABORATORY

GANDHI BAZAAR, BASAVANGUDI

S. T. PARTHASARATHY, M.B. & B.S.
REGISTERED MEDICAL PRACTITIONER

BANGALORE CITY

Dated 29th May 1940

Mr. A.S. Govindaraju has been examined by me this day I do not find in him any physical incapability, for any type sedentary work that he may like to undertake He is not suffering from any type of bodily ailment

S.T. Parthasarathy

Basavangudi

A SKELETON ANALYSIS OF THE POINTS IN MY APPEAL.

CHARGE: Only my explanation was taken, but there was no regular enquiry and no personal interview. I was not allowed even to see the supplemental statement No.2 in question though I had prayed for them. The District Magistrate told me he was convinced of my innocence of the charge.

APPEAL: It was not disposed off by the Government, but was forwarded for final disposal to the High Court. It may not be just under the above circumstances.

EFFICIENCY Only once in 1934 my increment was stopped but even then my explanation was not taken. Subsequently I earned every increment. Since then there was not even one warning or black-mark. The appended statistics show that my work was in no year never below the average.

CHARACTER: No adverse remark was ever communicated. So I submit perhaps there was none.

PHYSICAL FITNESS: There is no Medical Certificate disqualifying me.

FAMILY CONNECTION: I am one of the great grand sons of the late Appavu Mudaliar so called Dewan during the Rendition. My wife is the daughter of the late T.V. Thiruvengada Mudaliar the brother of late Rajakaryapravina T.V. Arumuga Mudaliar and he brought he[illegible]s own daughter.

PENSION: Art:262 M.S.R. was extended and applied to the case of Mr. H.M. Krishnaswami but it was not extended to my case and the same liberality shown to Mr. Ananda Rao was not extended to me. Even my temporary but continuous service of 1¼ years was disallowed and my prayers for some concessions may kindly be granted and my pension may kindly be fixed at Rs150/- at least for my 18 years of service even if I cannot be given my full pension.

- - - - -

A.S. Govindaraja[illegible]

	Number of cases Total	Number of cases 6 months old	Number of cases 3 months old	Remarks.
Mysore 1937-38 -				
When I took charge on 23-8-1937.	152	14	33	
When I handed over charge on 12-5-1938.	128	6	36	In 63 of the cases accused had not appeared. In 21 of the cases that were 3 months old accused had not appeared. On 3 of the 6 months old cases accused had not appeared. If computed from the date of the appearance of the accused, no case was 6 months old.
At the time of th the last previous inspection on 16th August 1937		7	13	By some error in the office of the District Magistrate these figures had been omitted. This led to the impression that there was no three months old cases even and based on this incorrect statistics - there was a remark against me that my work could not be said to be satisfactory.
Tumkur 1938-39 -				
When I took charge on 16-5-1938	86	-	46	
At the time of the inspection on 5-5-1939.	78	-	29	

Criminal side

Year.	Number of cases instituted	Number of cases disposed off	Number of witnesses examined	Average number of witnesses examined per month	Average number of cases disposed off per month.
1935	382	416	1303		
1936	416	452	1208		
1937	454	431	1314	80	36
1938	532	460	2003	155	41
1939 till end of May as I handed over charge in June	558	599	1704		
Total figures during my period from 17-5-1938 to 30-5-1939.	513	619	1883	147	50

Civil side

	Total number of cases disposed off	Number of considered Judgments.	Number of witnesses examined.	Remarks.
Mr. H. Venkata Krishna Setty, B.A., B.L., from 27-1-1937 to 4-4-1937	12	8	64	In the inspection notes last year, the District Magistrate observed that this Court usually works till 7 P.M and in this year's inspection notes he observed that the Magisterial work of this Court is heavy and it should be relieved of civ work. So there was over-crowd[illegible]s of work I could
Mr. Krishna Setty, B.A., B.L., from 17-5-37 to 2-8-1937	6	5	47	
Mr. R.P. Vasudev, B.A., B.L., Myself from 12-8-38 to 18-4-39	17	6	87	

As Govindarajulu

THE BAR ASSOCIATION

Tumkur

Dated 14th June 40.

To

Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L.,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I have great pleasure in communicating to you the following resolution adopted unanimously by members of the Association at a Special General Meeting held on 14-6-1940 for the purpose.

Resolution:--

"The members of the Bar Association, Tumkur, at a Special General Meeting assembled on 14-6-1940 unanimously place on record their keen appreciation of the work of Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A.,B.L., who, as Special II Magistrate and Additional First Munsiff, Tumkur, used to get through quite a quantity of work Criminal and Civil in a very short time, the more admirable because of the very heavy magisterial work in that Court; and of the Court atmosphere maintaine by him at all times, happily combining in himself official dignity, a perfect judicial temperament and an inimitable habit of uniform courtesy towards all who came in contact with him".

Sd/- G.G. Narasimha Iyengar,

Joint Secretary,

14-6-40.

(true Copy)

A.S. Govindarajulu

4.7.40.

Mr. A.S. Govindrajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L., is a courteous consciousness and capable Magistrate. Certainly he is not below the average or incompetent. Except for the Lunch interval of 40 minutes at the maximum , he was working methodically and regularly from 11 A.M. to 5 P.M.

Members of the Tumkur bar.

1. H.S. Rama Rao,	Pleader	
2. G. Muddappa	Advocate	This gentleman was intelligent and was doing high class work. 8.6.40
3. D. Ramachandra Rao	Pleader	
4. H. Venkataramiah	Advocate	President of the Bar Association.
5. H. Chelem Iyengar	Advocate	I agree with the above opinion.
6. K. Garudachar	Pleader	I fully agree with what is stated above.
7. S. Rama Rao	Advocate	I completely agree
8. L. Venkataramaniya	Pleader	Mr. A.S. Govindrajulu's treatment to the litigant public and to the members of the bar was o.k. He is very intelligent and was doing his work to the satisfaction by every one.
9. K. Not legible	Pleader	
10. H.S. Ramaswamyiyah	Pleader	Mr. A.S. Govindarajalu's treatment to litigant public and members of the bar was o.k. He was quite efficient in his work.
11. B.N. Krishna Rao		
12. B. Raja Rao		
13. B.N. Krishna Iyengar		
14. K.S. Desikachar		Mr. Govindrajulu Mudaliar was an efficient and intelligent Magistrate.
15. Not legible		
16. K. Srikantia	advocate	I agree
17. H.V. Rama Rao		I agree
?. Not legible		
S.S. Thamaiyah		

20Not legible		
21. G.V. Suryanarayana Rao		
22. B. Kanti Rao		
23. M.R. Sundara Raja Iyengar		Pleader
24. C. Lakshmana Rao	Advocate	I feel if my duty in signing this submission that I had * the opportunity of appearing in Mr. Govindrajalu Mudaliar's Court quite a large number of times and in several cases his treatment towards the Bars as well as the litigal public was most cordial. His judgments were always very discerning and his appreciation of evidence was remarkably good. He used to turn out in about 3 hours time more work than an average Judge does in not less than 5 hours.
25. S.G. Narasimha Iyengar	Advocate	I associate myself with the opinion expressed above
26. G. Rajagopalachar	Advocate	
27. M.V. Rama Rao *	Pleader	
28. Not Legibel		
29.H. Venkatasubbiah		
30. Not Legible		
31. M.T. Lakshmana Gowda		
32. T. Subbaramaniyam	Advocate	
33. Bogadi Gundanna		
34. T. Seetharamiyar		

MEMBERS OF THE MYSORE BAR.

35. G.S. Dhuruva Rao	Advocate	
~~MEMBERS OF MYSORE BAR.~~		
36. B. Narayanaswamy	Pleader	I have appeared before Mr. Govindrajulu Mudaliar when he was 2nd City Magistrat Mysore in many cases, & I have found that he was quick to grasp at hard facts and independant and concise in his judgment. He was a hard working and conscious Judge.
37. C.V. Ramaswamy	Pleader	
38. Not Legible	Pleader	I do agree
39. M. Ramaswamiah	Pleader	
40. S. Rangaraman		
41. B.R. Venkatakrishnappa	Advocate	

42. H.S. Dasappa	Advocate	I have had occasion to watch Mr. Govindrajulu Mudaliar's work as Second City Magistrate Mysore though I had not much to do in his court myself. I found him quiet unassuming hard working and thoroughly conscientous and good traits for a judge.
43. S.K. Ramachar	Pleader	Having had opportunitees of appearing before the learned Magistrate, we had substantial justice to the parte and courteous treatment to members of the profession who appeared before him: A conscientious and capable judge. I certainly agree with the opinion expressed by my learned brother.
44. T. Sheshadri	Advocate	I appeared before Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L., while he was 2nd Magistrate here in a number of cases. I have always found him to be able and conscientious and hard working and in addition he showed unfailing courtesy to the members of the bar.
45. P.G. Ramachandra Rao	Advocate and Official Receiver.	He was a shrewd magistrate who had a great knowledge of human nature.
46. M.A. Doreswamy Iyengar	Advocate	I have appeared before him some cases and for what, I have seen of him, he was conscientious, courteous and quite competent as a magistrat
47. M.V. Venkatesiah	Advocate	He would have a quick grasp of the cases dealt, out by him and thoroughly conversant with the proceedure. His Judgments were perfectly sound though short, and the language above average **********(special certificate) * * * I found him very efficient in his work and very courteous.
48. P. Gopalakrishna Setty	Advocate	
49. Not legible		
50. C. Mantharama Sastry		
51. M.R. Krishna Iyengar	B.A.,L.L.B., Pleader	
52. S. Puttanna Setty	Advocate	
53. T. Ranga Rao	Advocate	
54. S. Nageswaran	Pleader	
55. N.N. Nagappa	Pleader	
56.K. Sampat Iyengar	Advocate	

57. K. Narayanachar	Pleader	
58. Not ligible		
59. K. Krishna Doss	Advocate	
60. Not legibl_		
61. S.K. Subba Rac	Advocate	
62. H. Subba Rao	Advocate	
63. S.N. Srikantaiya	Pleader	
64. T.I. Rajagopalaiah	Pleader	
65. G. Subba Rao	Advocate	
66. R. Rama Iyer	Advocate	
67. D.E. Rangaswamiyengar	Advocate	
68. S.P. Ranganathachar	Pleader	
69. S. Chinnaswamy Setty		
70. B. Srinivasachar	Pleader	
71. P. Chennaply	Pleader	
72. S. Srinivasachar		
73. N. Subba Rao	Pleader	
74. A.S. Venkatachala Iyengar		
75. N.S. Mysore Vala Lawyer		
76. C. Narasinga Rao	Advocate	He was courteous to the members of the bar. He was discharging his duties consciously.
77. S. Krishna Rao	Advocate	(Special Certificate) I always found him to be very conscientious, intelligent, hard working. He used to string very hard to get the truth in all the cases tried by him. I have no hesitation in saying that he was one often most painstaking, most courteous judges that I had occasion to appear beofore.
78. C. Srikantiah	Advocate	
79. N. Rajagopal Iyengar		
80. N. Surdharow	Advocate	
81. M. Ramachandra Rao	Advocate	
82. N. Subba Rao	Advocate	
83. C. Dikshit	Advocate	
84. Not legible	Advocate	
85. L. Srikantiah	Pleader	

86. M. Venkata Krishna	Advocate	
87. S. Srinivasan	Pleader	
88. Not legible	Advocate	
89. K.M. Subba Rao	Advocate	
90. A. Venkateshiah	Advocate	
91. K.V. Srinivasamoorthy	Advocate	
92. G.L. Nanjappa Setty	Pleader	
93. K. Ranga Iyengar	Advocate Tumkur.	22-6-40 I have appeared in my Mr. Govindrajulu's court in a large number of cases and his treatment of the litigant public and the Lawyers was very courteous and he was one who was very efficient in his work. He dealt with the cases very intelligently.
94. C. Krishna Rao	Pleader	
95. M. Lakshminarayaniah	Advocate President of the Bar Association	My impressions of Mr. Govindaraja Mudaliar are that he is quite intelligent and is capable of doing the work entrusted to him. He is very smart and courteous and I believe that he is capable of continuing on the my Bench with good results. 22.6.40

"Copy."
R.S. Govindarajulu
4.7.40

Financial Secretary
with compliments
P.S.O.

3728 P.S.O.

Received 15/11/40

PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

From

A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L.,
Retired Munsiff,
11 Rathnavilas Road,
Basavangudi.
Bangalore.

M-KS.

To

The Dewan of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

As ordered during the kind interview on 9-11-40 and ~~xx~~ in continuation of my applications dated 17-7-40 etc. I beg to submit that all the words in the G.O. ordering my retirement casting doubts on my character and honour may be deleted kindly or a regular enquiry may be ordered kindly.

I pray that I may be given my full pension or for the present it may be fixed kindly at Rs.150/- treating me as generously as Mr. Ananda Rao. My total continuous service of 17 years 3 months becomes reduced to 15 years and odd months pensionable service. But as per rules it can be rounded up kindly to 16 years. To this 3 years may be added kindly as I was a lawyer as was kindly done to Mr. H.M. Krishnasami. This will give me Rs.142-8-0 at least.

I pray for this generous treatment.

I have the honour to be.
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
AS Govindarajulu

Encls.

Mahar recorded by
36 R.A. Members.

11.11.40.

Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar M.A., B.L., Retired Magistrate bears a reputation as a scrupulously honest. conscientious, courteous and capable Magistrate. His prayer for a regular and independent enquiry on the only charge against him may be granted kindly.

1.	S.L. Mudu Basavia		
2.	M. Sivabasappa	R.A.M.	Tiptur
3.	G. Kanchappa	"	Chicknaikanhalli
4.	Nagappa	"	
5.	Pacharase Gowda	"	Nagavalli
6.	B. Mallappa	"	Nelamangala
7.	Chowdia	"	Chicknaikanhalli
8.	Chickram Gowda	"	Belur
9.	Mir Mahomed	"	Tarikere
10.	Sivappa	"	Tiptur
11.	Y. Sangappa	"	Kadur
12.	Not Legible	"	Kadur
13.	Honna Siddia	"	Magadi
14.	H.M. Mallikarjun aplia	"	Tiptur
15.	H.M. Shantanna	"	
16.	Not Legible	"	
17.	G.M. Chandrasekariah	"	Gubbi & Tumkur
18.	Maralasiddia	"	
19.	G. Gowda	"	
20.	Channa Basave Gowda	"	Chicknaikanhalli
21.	Munivenkatappa	"	Bangalore
22.	T. Syed Khaja Peeran	"	Vice President, Dist. Board Kadur Dist.
23.	M. Gurusiddappa	"	
24.	Channappa Gowda	"	
25.	Nanjunde Gowda	"	Thurevekere
26.	B.S. Kasturi	"	Belur
27.	Veerabadrachari	"	
28.	Mallikarjunappa	"	Sidlagatta

29.	Not Legible	R.A.M.	
30.	M. Changappa	"	
31.	Abdul Rajak	"	Chital Drug
32.	Mohamed Pasha	"	
33.	Not Legible	"	
34.	Not Legible	"	
35.	Honnappa	"	
36.	not legible	"	

Copy. Submitted.

AS Govindarajulu

11. 11. 40.

5863/39

From

As Govindarajulu Mudaliar M.A.
Munsiff Retired 19. Sir M. Visvesvaraya Road,
Basavangud Bangalore. 23 FEB 1940

SECY. LAW DEPTT. 25 FEB 1940

The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Law department. Bangalore.

Dated 15. 2. 1940.

Dear Sir,

In continuation of my application dated 9.2.40, I have the honour to submit that I have given the information required, as per orders, but I still humbly pray that the Government itself may kindly consider my appeal dated 4.10.39 and 24.10.39 and pass orders. I submit that it is not fair to find me guilty of the charge without an enquiry as contemplated U.S. 19 & 20 C.C.A. I pray that such an enquiry may be ordered kindly or the charge may be withdrawn kindly. Until this is done I shall most humbly beg for the same both personally and through the public.

I have the honour to be
Dear Sir
Your most obedient servant
As Govindarajulu

Subd for orders with references
The connected file was taken by C.B. and it was returned today to hand.

The former memorial of Mr Govindarajulu Mudaliar for enquiry into the charges against him was ordered to be filed. Flag "O"

If approved, this may also be filed

Placed before me on receipt 3/2/43

(Appl 20/3)

6813/p39

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Dated, 12 · 4 1940

Pet. No. 35-36



HW 5/74

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government Law Department, 11/41 for favour of disposal.

By Order,

MR Rama

for Private Secretary to the Dewan.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of receipt 12.4.40
Petn: Regr. No. 35-56

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

The humble petition of:

Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A.,B.L.,
Munsiff, Retired,
No.57, Kankanhalli Road,
Baswangudi,
Bangalore.

Dated 3rd April, 1940.

Most respectfully showeth:-

That with reference to the Order No.4032/CB 210-38-6 dated 12-2-'40 on his appeal dated 27-10-1939, since only his explanation was taken, but there was no enquiry at all on the sole charge against him, he most respectfully prays that an independent regular and open enquiry may be ordered kindly on the sole charge against him or that the charge may be withdrawn or the G.O. - ordering his retirement may be modified kindly by the delition of such of the words therein as impugne his character, as it is unfair to find him guilty of the charge without any enquiry and as otherwise it will be impossible for him to secure any other decent appointment elsewhere.

For which act of justice the humble petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

A.S. Govindarajulu
The humble petitioner.

A skeleton analysis of my case for kind ready reference.

Charge: Only my explanation was taken but there was no regular enquiry and no personal interview. I was not allowed even to see the supplemental statement No.2 in question.

Appeal: It was not disposed off by the Government, but was forwarded for disposal to the High Court. It may not be just under the above circumstances.

Efficiency: Not even one warning since 1935 and I earned every increment since then.

Character: No adverse remark was ever communicated, as I submit be there was none.

Physical Fitness: There is no M.C. disqualifying me.

Pension: Art: 262 M.S.R. was extended and applied to the case of Mr. H.M. Krishnasamy, but it was not applied to my case and the same liberality shown to Mr.Ananda Rao was not extended to me. Even my temporary but - continuous service of 1¼ years was not disallowed.

AS Govindarajulu

3.4.40.

7058 f39 CSB 047

cls 42-39-9

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Pet. No. 3687

Dated, 24 . 4 . 1940



The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government ~~.....~~ Chief ~~Department~~,

for favour of disposal

By Order,

24/4/40

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
Date of receipt 24.4.40
Petn. Regr. No. 3687

To

The Dewan of Mysore,

Public Offices--Bangalore.

The humble petition of:

Mr.A.S.Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A.,B.L.,
Munsiff, Retired,
No.57, Kankanhalli Road,
Basavangudi--Bangalore.

Dated 21st April 1940

Most respectfully showeth:--

That in continuation of his petition dated 3-4-40 with reference to the Order No.4032/CB 210-38-6 dated 12-2-40 on his appeal dated 27-10-1939, since only his explanation was taken, but there was no enquiry at all on the sole charge against him, he most humbly prays for the vindication and in defence of his personal character and honour that an independent regular and, if possible, open enquiry as contemplated in Secns. 19 and 20 Civil Courts Act may be ordered kindly on the sole charge against him.

That he prays if this is not possible then as the last alternative the following words impugning his character namely "the character" (in line I) "and that in addition he has been found guilty of deliberately submitting incomplete statistical returns with a view to hide his shortcomings and mislead his superiors" (in line 6) may be deleted kindly in the order No. 3850-51. C.B.210-38-2, dated 6-6-1939 retiring him as otherwise it will be impossible for him to secure any other decent appointment even elsewhere, and it may be unfair to find him guilty and depriving him of his personal character and honour also without a regular independent enquiry.

For which act of justice the humble petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

A.S.Govindarajulu

The Humble Petitioner.

Basavangudi.
Dated...21.4.1940.

A skeleton analysis of the points in my appeal

for kind ready referrence.

Charges: Only my explanation was taken but there was no regular enquiry and no personal interview. I was not allowed even to see the supplemental statement No.2 in question though I had prayed for them. The District Magistrate told me heswas convinced of my innocence of the charge.

Appeal: It was not disposed off by the Government, but was forwarded for final disposal to the High Court. It may not be just under the

Efficiency: above circumstances. Only once in 1934 my increment was stopped,but even then even my explanation was not taken. Subsequently I earned every increment. Since then there was not even one warning or black mark.

Character: No adverse remark was ever communicated . So I submit perhaps there was none.

Physical Fittness: There is no Medical Certificate disqualifying me.

Pension: Art: 262 M.S.R was extended and applied to the case of Mr. H.M.Krishnasamy, but it was not applied to my case and the same liberality shown to Mr.Ananda Rao was not extended to me. Even my temporary but continuous service of 1¼ years was disallowed.

A.S. Govindarajulu

21.4.40.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 30-5-40

Signed by ..... Mr. K.P.P.

List of enclosures.... .....

Copied by... .....

Examined by.....

Section C5

File No. 42-39

Serial No. 10

No. P7588/Ecce 1.

To

The Registrar,
High Court Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Memorial presented to the Dewan by Mr A.S. Govindarajalu Mudaliar, praying that the remarks relating to his character in G.O. No 3850-51 C.B 210-38-2, ordering his retirement from service, may be deleted as otherwise it will be impossible for him to secure any other decent job elsewhere and to request that Government may be favoured with the opinion of the High Court in the matter.

I have etc

for Secretary to Govt
Law department

RECEIVING SECTION
27 MAY 1940
BANGALORE

WD 0563—GPB—30,000—6-1-39

C542-39-11

8274 P 8 13

R. O. C. No. 1386
D. Dis. 39-4

SEC CY DEPT 25 JUNE 1940 FROM GOVT OF MYSORE

HIGH COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,
Bangalore, 24th June 1940 ~~193~~

T. Shamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~[illegible]~~,
Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

To

H.V. Narayana Rao, Esq., B.A., BL.B.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

"V"

With reference to your letter No.P.7568 Cts.42-39, dated 30th May 1940, forwarding a copy of the Memorial presented to the Dewan by Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, praying that the remarks relating to his character in Government Order No.3850-51/C.B.210-38-2, dated 6th June 1939, directing his retirement from service may be deleted, and requesting the opinion of the High Court in the matter, I am directed to state that the Government ordered the retirement of Mr. Govindarajulu Mudaliar on the grounds that his work was unsatisfactory and that he was sickly and incompetent. The Government quoted a communication from the High Court as to the effect that he had deliberately submitted an incomplete statistical return; but that was not the ground given in the Government Order for retiring him. Though it is entirely a matter for the Government to decide whether their order should be modified in any way, I am directed to point out that the Government cannot 'delete' or 'withdraw' any part of the High Court's communication. What was sent to the Government really were copies of minutes of the Judges, which the Government cannot modify.

The Judges are of opinion that there is nothing in Mr. Govindarajulu Mudaliar's after-thought about an inquiry. The

information

information given to the Government about the statistical returns was based on Mr. Govindarajulu Mudaliar's own explanations and admitted facts.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Ramiengar

Registrar.

BCR.

2425 P 40.

WD 502p—GBPM—800 forms—5-7-40.

No. 2036 /Cir

THE PALACE, MYSORE,

12 10 1940

16

The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government,
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Bangalore

By Order,

~~For~~. *Private Secretary*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 210 of 40-41

Mr. A.S. Govindarajalu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L., Retired Moonsiff. Bangalore praying that his case may be reconsidered after full enquiry into the matter.

Submitted
The file has been sent vide D. No. 8274 and it appears this will be put up also with this
CBR
25/10

To

H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
M y s o r e.

The humble petition of

Mr. A.S.Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L.,
Retd. Munsiff. Basvangudi. BANGALORE.

Dept concerned
Records

Most Respectfully sheweth:-

That he a I grade Munsiff/of 16 years permanant on Rs.450/-and Service was retired in his 46th year as per G.O.No. 3850 dated 6th June 1939.

2. That the sole charge against him was that he submitted an incomplete statement No.2. to mislead his superior (evidently the District Magistrate) But he told him that he was convinced of his innocence. But still the Chief Justice found him guilty.

3. That he prayed for (1) Permission to see the said statement; (2) a personal interview with the Chief Justice and then the Dewan; (3) an oppertunity to adduce his defence evidence.

4. That none of these were granted.

5. That no report against his character was ever even communicated him, even to obtain his explanation.

6. That thus there was no enquiry at all as required Under Section 19 and 20 Civil Courts Act Art. 213, 216and 229 Manual of General Circulars and

General and Standing Orders Part I and as a fundamental and elementary rifht of a public servant.

7. That this is very unfair to him, and may have led to a miscarriage of justice.

8. That his sole prayer is that an independant, full reguler and, if possible, open enquiry may be ordered kindly into the sole charge against him., and reports if any against his character.

For which act of grace and Justice the humble petitioner, as in duty that nouna shall ever pray.

Date. 21. 9. 40

A S Govindarajulu

The humble petitioner.

Enclosure.

A brief statement of his case stated in detail in his appeal dated 27-10-39.

A BRIEF NARRATIVE STATEMENT OF HIS CASE.

C h a r g e.

This is the only charge against me " You are charged with deliberately submitting an incomplete supplemental statement to quarterly return II for your court for the quarter ending 30th September 1938 in order to hide the fact that there were 30 cases six months old on your file at that time" I am found guilty. But only my explanation was taken in a casual way. I explained that I had signed it along with some other routine statements in the usual office routine and it was dispatched by shere inadvertance by the office in that incomplete form and it was not done so under my instructions or with my knowledge.

The office draft of the said statement containe all the figures in correct and complete detail. The Head clerk and the clerks explained that perhaps they were omitted in the fair copy because they wanted to insert the correct figures after I had approved of and passed the work done statement which I had detained. But in the meanwhile it was despatched by inadvertance before the necessary figures were inserted. (Vide their written statements filed in my explanation Etc., They clearly state that that was not done so either under my instructions or with my knowledge) I had asked the head clerk to show me the circular (which he said existed) which required that when two or three cases were clubbed together as one, in the statement of pending cases these must still be shown as two or three and not as one. Perhaps this also had made him wait a little, so that he might insert the correct figures later on

later on but had forgotten about it.

It was not done deliberately or intensionally to mislead my superior officers or to hide anything. Because almost once a week I used to see the District Magistrate and tell him about the pendency of these large number of six months old cases, this information was also available in the records i,e, the monthly statement submitted the very next day. The district Magistrate to whom only the statement was submitted assured me that he was convicned of my innocence.

1. I requested the chief Justice to grant a re- enquiry and to examine my D.w.s (three of my clerks two of the District Magistrate's clerks and the District Magistrate) amd my defence documents (similar and connected statements and tapal books)

2. A personal interview to explain everything.

3. Permission to see the said statement.

None of these was granted. Still I am found guilty though I was thus denied every opportunity to prove my innocence.

The words used while convicting me are different and not the same words as those used in the said charge. If this really and actually means that I am convicted of something different from that with which I was charged and that the original charge was given up, then it will be convicting me without a charge. Thus it will be stabbing me in thedark.

Reports.

The G.O. states "The reports regarding the character were very unfavourable." No such extract or reports were ever communicated to me and much less was my explanation ever obtained. Unitll then I did not know of their existence even. Now, even, I have reason to believe that there are really no such reports at all. I prayed the Cheif Justice and the Dewan to let me know what these reports were. But even this request was not granted. Still I am punished and my character is blackend, This will again amount to stabbing me in the dark. I do not know if they are confidential, police or anonymous reports or whispers.

I belong to late Dr. T.V.Armugam's and late so called Dewan Appavere Mudaliar's family and my father died in harness in Mysore Government Service.

I only pray for a regular enquiry as contemplated in the manual of general circulars and standing orders part I Act 213, 216, 229 and under section 19 and 20 civil courts Act, so that I may have a fair opportunity to prove my innocence before I am actually found guilty and aso drastically punished.

97 members of the Bar at Tipture Mysore and Tumkur who ever appeared before me have signed and recorded a mahasar in these terms " Mr. A. S. Govindarajulu Madaliar M.A., B.L., was a conscientious and courteous and capable Magistrate - working methodically and regularly form 11 to 5 -- The members of the Bar at Tumkur from where I was retired passed these unanimous resolutions

1 Mr. A.S.Govindarajulu Maduliar M.A.. B.L.. was uniformly courteous --- Etc., "

2. "We place on record our keen appreciation of the work of Mr. A.S.Govindarajulu Mudaliar M.A., B.L., -- who used to get through a quite a quantity of work criminal and civil in a very short time, the more admirable because of the very heavy magistrial work in that court and of the court atmosphere maintained by him at all times happily combining in himself official dignity, a perfect judicial temperament, and an inimitable habit of uniform courtesey towards all who came in contact with him,"

The recommendation of the High Court was that I might be retired on invalid pension or be reduced to Rs.400/-. I was not even once warned for bad work for the last four years. So, I submit I cannot be held to be altogether incompetent. I was drawing Rs.450/- for the last three years.

I was not invalidated by any doctor. I am now retired a pension that leaves me Rs.5/- net after deducting my insurace premium and I have a feeling that accepting my pension is like accepting my dishonour. So I am postponing drawing my pension . Unless there is the guarantee of a regular enquiry and the rule of Law anything may be said against any one and anything may be done to any one so until an enquiry is ordered I may be permitted kindly to get resolutions passed and a mahazar signed by as many as possible praying that I may be granted kindly the benifit of an enquiry. Because even deleting all the words - stigmatising my character may not be doing me as full

full justice as ordering a regular enquiry.

Under all these circumstances if everyone of the reasons stated in the said Government Order -- ordering my retirement is proved to be baseless, then I most humbly and respectfully submit that I may be given my full pension.

A S Govindarajulu
Retired. Munsiff I Grade.
21.9.40.

A skeleton analysis of the points in my appeal.

Charge: Only my explanation was taken, but there was no regular enquiry and no personal interview. I was not allowed even to see the supplemental statement No.2 in question though I had prayed for them. The District Magistrate told me he was convinced of my innocence of the charge.

Appeal: It was not disposed off by the Government, but was forwarded for final disposal to the High Court. It may not be just under the above circumstances.

Efficiency: Only once in 1934 my increment was stopped but even then my explanation was not taken. Subsequently I earned every increment. For the last 8 years I was drawing 450/-. Since then there was not even one warning or black-mark. My statistics show - my disposals were never below the average. The unanimous resolutions and the remarks recorded by the lawyers speak to my capacity - Even the High Court said I might be reduced to 400/- or invalidated..

Character: No adverse remark was ever communicated. So I submit perhaps there was none.

Physical Fitness: There is no Medical Certificate disqualifying me.

Family Connections: I am one of the great grand sons of the late Appavu Mudaliar, so called Dewan during the Rendition. My wife is the daughter of the late T. V. Thiruvengada Mudaliar, the brother of late Rajakaryapravina Dr. T. V. Arumuga Mudaliar and he brought her up as his own daughter.

Pension: Art.262 M.S.R. was extended and applied to the case of Mr. H. M. Krishnaswami but it was not extended to my case and the same liberality shown to Mr. Ananda Rao was not extended to me. Even my temporary but continuous service of 1¼ years was disallowed and my prayer for some concession may be kindly granted and my pension may be fixed at Rs.150/- at least for my 18 years of service even if I cannot be given my full pension. The sanctioned pension leaves me 255 net after the deduction of insurance premium.

A S Govindarajulu

21-9-40

THE BAR ASSOCIATION

Tumkur

Dated 14th June 40.

To

Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L.,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I have great pleasure in communicating to you the following resolution adopted unanimously by members of the Association at a Special General Meeting held on 14-6-1940 for the purpose.

Resolution:--

"The members of the Bar Association, Tumkur, at a Special General Meeting assembled on 14-6-1940 unanimously place on record their keen appreciation of the work of Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A.,B.L., who, as Special II Magistrate and Additional First Munsiff, Tumkur, used to get through quite a quantity of work Criminal and Civil in a very short time, the more admirable because of the very heavy magisterial work in that Court; and of the Court atmosphere maintained by him at all times, happily combining in himself official dignity, a perfect judicial temperament and an inimitable habit of uniform courtesy towards all who came in contact with him".

Sd/- S.G. Narasimha Iyengar,

Joint Secretary,

14-6-40.

(true Copy)

A.S. Govindaraju.

18.6.40.

Mr. A.S. Govindrajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L., ~~is~~ was a courteous consciousness and capable Magistrate. Certainly he is not below the average or incompetent. Except for the Lunch interval of 40 minutes at the maximum , he was working methodically and regularly from 11 A.M. to 5 P.M.

Members of the Tumkur bar.

8.6.40.

1. H.S. Rama Rao,	Pleader	
2. G. Muddappa	Advocate	This gentleman was intelligent and was doing high class work.
3. D. Ramachandra Rao	Pleader	
4. H. Venkataramiah	Advocate	President of the Bar Association.
5. H. Chelem Iyengar	Advocate	I agree with the above opinion.
6. K. Garudachar	Pleader	I fully agree with what is stated above.
7. S. Rama Rao	Advocate	I completely agree
8. L. Venkataramaniya	Pleader	Mr. A.S. Govindrajulu's treatment to the litigant public and to the members of the bar was o.k. He is very intelligent and was doing his work to the satisfaction by every one.
9. K. Not legible	Pleader	
10. H.S. Ramaswamyiyah	Pleader	Mr. A.S. Govindarajalu's treatment to litigant public and ~~70~~ 89 members of the bar was o.k. He was quite efficient in his work.
11. B.N. Krishna Rao		
12. B. Raja Rao		
13. B.N. Krishna Iyengar		
14. K.S. Desikachar		Mr. Govindrajulu Mudaliar was an efficient and intelligent Magistrate.
15. Not legible		
16. K. Srikantia	advocate	I agree
17. H.V. Rama Rao		I agree
18. Not legible		
19. S.S. Thamaiyah		

20Not legible		
21. G.V. Suryanarayana Rao		
22. B. Kanti Rao		
23. M.R. Sundara Raja Iyengar		Pleader
24. C. Lakshmana Rao	Advocate	I feel it as my duty in signing this submission that I had the opportunity of appearing in Mr. Govindrajalu Mudaliar's Court quite a large number of times and in several cases. his treatment towards the Bars as well as the litigal public was most cordial. His judgments were always very discerning and his appreciation of evidence was remarkably good. He used to turn out in about 3 hours time more work than an average Judge does in not less than 5 hours.
25. S.G. Narasimha Iyengar	Advocate	I associate myself with the opinion expressed above
26. G. Rajagopalachar	Advocate	
27. M.V. Rama Rao	Pleader	
28. Not Legibel		
29.H. Venkatasubbiah		
30. Not Legible		
31. M.T. Lakshmana Gowda		
32. T. Subbaramaniyam	Advocate	
33. Bogadi Gundanna		
34. T. Seetharamiyar		
35. G.S. Dhuruva Rao	Advocate	
36. B. Narayanaswamy	Pleader	I have appeared before Mr. Govindrajulu Mudaliar when he was 2nd City Magistrat Mysore in many cases, & I have found that he was quick to grasp at hard facts and independant and concise in his judgment. He was a hard working and conscious Judge.
37. C.V. Ramaswamy	Pleader	
38. Not Legible	Pleader	I do agree
39. M. Ramaswamiah	Pleader	
40. S. Rangaraman		
41. B.R. Venkatakrishnappa	Advocate	
42.		

Members of the Mysore Bar

42. H.C. Dasappa	Advocate	I have had occasion to watch Mr. Govindrajulu Mudaliar's work as Second City Magistrate Mysore though I had not much to do in his court myself. I found him quiet unassuming hard working and thoroughly conscientous and good traits for a judge.
43. S.K. Ramachar	Pleader	Having had opportunities of appearing before the learned Magistrate, we had substantial justice to the parts and courteous treatment to members of the profession who appeared before him: A conscientious and capable judge. I certainly agree with the opinion expressed by my learned brother.
44. T. Sheshadri	Advocate	I appeared before Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L., while he was 2nd Magistrate here in a number of cases. I have always found him to be able and conscientious and hard working and in addition he showed unfailing courtesy to the members of the bar.
45. P.G. Ramachandra Rao	Advocate and Official Receiver.	He was a shrewd magistrate who had a great knowledge of human nature.
46. M.A. Doreswamy Iyengar	Advocate	I have appeared before him in some cases and for what, I have seen of him, he was conscientious, courteous and quite competent as a magistrate.
47. M.V. Venkatesial	Advocate	He would have a quick grasp of the cases dealt, out by him and thoroughly conversant with the proceedure. His Judgments were perfectly sound though short, and the language above average **********(special certificate) * * * I found him very efficient in his work and very courteous.
48. P. Gopalakrishna Setty	Advocate	
49. Not legible		
50. C. Mantharama Sastry		
51. M.R. Krishna Iyengar	B.A.,L.L.B., Pleader	
52. S. Puttanna Setty	Advocate	
53. T. Ranga Rao	Advocate	
S. Nageswarar	Pleader	
T.N. Nagappa	Pleader	
Sampat Iyengar	Advocate	

57. K. Narayanachar	Pleader	
58. Not ligible		
59. K. Krishna Doss	Advocate	
60. Not legible		
61. S.K. Subba Rao	Advocate	
62. H. Subba Rao	Adv.....	
63. S.N. Srikantaiya	Pleader	
64. T.I. Rajagopalaiah	.....	
65. G. Subba Rao	Advocate	
66. R. Rama Iyer	Advocate	
67. D.E. Rangaswamiyengar	Advocate	
68. S.P. Ranganathachar	Pleader	
69. S. Chinnaswamy Setty		
70. B. Srinivasachar	Pleader	
71. P. Chennaply	Pleader	
72. S. Srinivasachar		
73. N. Subba Rao	Pleader	
74. A.S. Venkatachala Iyengar		
75. N.S. Mysore Vala Lawyer		
76. C. Narasinga Rao	Advocate	He was courteous to the members of the bar. He was discharging his duties consciously.
77.S. Krishna Rao	Advocate	(Special Certificate) I always found him to be very conscientious, intelligent, hard working. He used to string very hard to get the truth in all the cases tried by him. I have no hesitation in saying that he was one often most pains taking, most courteous judges that I had occasion to appear beofere.
78. C. Srikantiah	Advocate	
79. N. Rajagopal Iyengar		
80. N. Surdharow	Advocate	
81. M. Ramachandra Rao	Advocate	
82. N. Subba Rao	Advocate	
83. C. Dikshit	Advocate	
84. Not legible	Advocate	
85. L. Srikantiah	Pleader	

86.	K.M.Subba Rao	Advocate	
87.	A. Venkatesaiah	Advocate	
88.	K.V. Srinivasamoorthy.	Advocate	
89.	G.L. Nagappa Sety	Pleader	
90.	M. Lakshminarayanaiah	Advocate President of the Bar Association.	My impressions of Mr. Govindaraja Mudaliar are that he is quite intelligent and is capable of doing the work entrusted to him. He is very smart and courteous and I believe that he is capable of continuing on the Bench with good results. 22-6-40
91.	K.V. Srinivasamoorthy	Advocate	
92.	G.L. Nanjappa Setty.	Pleader	
93.	Not legible	Advocate	
94.	K.Rangaiyangar	Advocate Tumkur 27-6-40.	I have appeared in my A.S.G. Govindaraju's Court in a large number of cases and his treatment of the litigant public and the lawyers were very courteous and he was one who was very efficient in his work. He dealt with the cases very intelligently.
95.	C. KrishnaRao	Pleader 3-7-40	

Copy

A.S. Govindaraju

18.7.40.

Mysore 1937-38.

Mysore:-- 1937.	No of cases Total	No of cases 6 months old	Nooi cases 3 months old	Remarks
When I took c rge on 23-8-37	152	14	33	
When I handed over charge on 12-5-1938.	128	6	36	In 63 of the cases accused had not appeared. In 21 of the cases that were 3 months old aged had not appeared. In 3 of the 6 month old cases accused had not appeared. If computed from the date of the appearance of the date of the accused ~~maxhad~~ no case was 6 months old.
At the time of the previon inspection on 10-6-37.		7	13	By some error in the spice of the District Magistrate these figures had been omitted. Thi led to the impression that that there was no 3 months old cases even and based on this incorrect statistics there was a remark against me that my work could not be sai to be satisfactory.
At the time of the inspection during my time on 11-5-38.		11 / 7	38	These are the figures taken 3 days before the inspection but by the time of the inspection 14 of these 6 months old cases had been disposed off leaving only seven. In 21 of the 3 months old cases accused had not appeared.

Tumkur 1938-39.

When I took charge on 16-5-1938.	86	nil	46	
At the time of the inspection on 5-3-39. but the time has retired	78	nil	28	

Criminal Side.

Year	No. of Cases instituted.	No. of Cases disposed off.	No. of witnesses examined	Average No. of witnesses examined per month.	Average No. of Cases disposed of per month.
1935.	382	416	1,303		
1936	416	452	1,208		
1937	454	431	1,314	80	36
1938	532	460	2,003	155	41
1939. Till the end of of May as I handed over charge in June.	558	599	1,704		
Total figures during my period from 17-5-1938 to 30-5-1939.	615	619	1,833	147	50

Civil side.

	From	Total No. of cases disposed off.	No. of judgements considered	Number of witnesses examined.	Remarks.
Mr.H.Venkata Krishna Setty B.A., B.L.,	27-1-37 to 4-4-37	12	8	64	In the inspection notes last year the District Magistrate had observed that this Court usually works till 7 P.M. and in this Year's inspection notes, he observed that the Magistrial work of this Court was heavy and it should be received of Civil work. So as there was overcrowing of work I could not dispose off more Civil Cases.
Mr. Krishna Setty B.A.,B.L.	17-5-37 to 2-8-37	6	5	47	
Mr. R.P.Vasudev	2-8-37 to 16-5-37	11	8	87	
Myself	17-5-38 to 10-4-39.	7	5	80	

A.S. Govindarajulu
18.4.40

SRI KRISHNA PHARMANY AND LABORATORY.
Gandhi Bazaar, Basavangudi.

S.T.Parthasarathy, M.B. & B.S.
Registered Medical
practioner.

Bangalore City.
Dated 29th May 1940.

Mr. A.S. Govinda Rajulu, has/been examined by me this day. I do not rind any physical incapability in him for any tupe of sedentary work that he may like to under take. He is not suffering from any type of bodily ailement.

Basavangudi.

(Sd) S.T.Parthasarathy

True copy.
--ooOoo--

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 11/15 November 1940.

No. P2433

From

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Law Department.

To

The Registrar,
High Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 3850-51/C.B. 210-38-2, dated 6th June 1939, directing the retirement of Mr. A.S. Govindarajalu Mudaliar from service, I am directed to request that the statistical returns referred to in para 1 of the Government Order cited above may kindly be furnished to this office for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

300/1940

R. O. C. No. 517/40-41
~~D. Dis.~~

Enc: one

HIGH COURT OF MYSORE.
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore, 14th November *1940.*
15

FROM T. Shamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~A. Sundararaja Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
~~S. Venkata Ranga Iyengar, Esq., B.A., LL.M.,~~
Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

Hm 2 15/11/40

To

15/11

H.V. Narayana Rao, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.2433, dated 11th November 1940, I am directed to enclose for reference and return the statistical return (supplemental statement No.2 for the quarter ending 30th September 1940) relating to the Court of the Second Magistrate of Tumkur referred to in G.O.No.3850-51/C.B. 210-38-2, dated 6-6-1939.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Shamiengar
Registrar.

VJC

WD 5028—GBPM—800 forms—5-7-40.

Ch. 19-40-23 3263 pdw

No. 2657/1

THE PALACE, MYSORE,
22nd November 1940.

The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Law Department, Bangalore.

By Order,

For *Private Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 304 of 1940-41

Petition of Mr.A.S.Govindarajulu Mudaliar, Retd. First Grade Munsiff, Basavanagudi, Bangalore, praying for an independent enquiry into the causes of his early retirement from service.



To

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

M y s o r e.

The humble petition of Mr. A.S. Govindaraiulu Mudaliar, M.A., B.L., Retired First Grade Munsiff, Basavangudi. Bangalore. dated 1st November 1940, most respectfully sheweth:

Dept concerned
5.11.40

1. That he was 46 years old and in his 18th year of permanent service as a Gazetted Officer and drawing Rs. 450/- per month when he was compulsorily retired without an enquiry.

2. That he in continuation of his petition dated 21-9-'40 humbly prays permission to record his protest and to draw his pension as legally he cannot further postpone it.

3. That it may be unfair to find him guilty of a charge, and also to cast doubts on his character and honour without an enquiry and an opportunity being offered to him to prove his innocence of the charge and the falsehood of any reports against his character.

4. That his sole prayer is that the truth may be thoroughly investigated and so a regular, independent, full and proper enquiry may be ordered kindly into the one and only charge against him and reports, if any, against his character.

For which act of justice, the humble petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

AS Govindaraiulu

The humble petitioner

WD 5028—GBPM—800 forms—5-7-40.

4006/40 P

No. ~~355~~ 3036/ one Two

THE PALACE, MYSORE, Ch. 19-40-27

6th December 1940

SECY. LAW DEPT. 4 JAN 1941 GOVT OF MYSORE

REV. SECRETARIAT 05 JAN 1941

4/1/41

The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal, **in continuation of this office No. 2657 dated 25th November 1940.**

To

Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Law Department, Bangalore.

By Order

27.12.40

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 1359 of 40-41

9.12 2.12.40

Petition dated 12th November 1940 from Mr. A.S. Govinda Rajulu Mudaliyar, Retired Munsiff, praying for a regular enquiry, re: his Compulsory Retirement.



216/21-9-40 CS
304/ Law Secretary
2657 15-11-40

To

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

M Y S O R E.

The Humble petition of Mr. A.S.Govindarajulu Mudaliar M.A., B.L., Retired Munsiff, Basvangudi; Bangalore in continuation of his petition dated 1-11-1940.

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

That he respectfully begs permission to submit in support of his humble prayer for a regular enquiry on the O N E charge against him the

Enclosed Mahazar recorded by some 36 assembly Representative Members belonging to the places where he had worked.

For which act of justice as in duty bound the humble petitioner shall every pray.

A.S Govindarajulu
The Humble petitioner.

Bangalore.
12-November '40.

Mr. A.S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar M.A., B.L., Retired Magistrate bears a reputation as a scrupulously honest, conscientious, courteous and capable Magistrate. His prayer for a regular and independent enquiry on the only charge against him may be granted kindly.

1.	S.L. Mudu Basavia		
2.	M. Sivabasappa	R.A.M.	Tiptur
3.	G. Kanchappa	"	Chicknaikanhalli
4.	Nagappa	"	
5.	Pacharase Gowda	"	Nagavalli
6.	B. Mallappa	"	Nelamangala
7.	Chowdia	"	Chicknaikanhalli
8.	Chickram Gowda	"	Belur
9.	Mir Mahomed	"	Tarikere
10.	Sivappa	"	Tiptur
11.	Y. Sangappa	"	Kadur
12.	Not Legible	"	Kadur
13.	Honna Siddia	"	Magadi
14.	H.M. Mallikarjun aplia	"	Tiptur
15.	H.M. Shantanna	"	
16.	Not Legible	"	
17.	G.M. Chandrasekariah	"	Gubbi & Tumkur
18.	Haralasiddia	"	
19.	G. Gowda	"	
20.	Channa Basave Gowda	"	Chicknaikanhalli
21.	Munivenkatappa	"	Bangalore
22.	T. Syed Khaja Peeran	"	Vice President, Dist. Board Kadur Dist.
23.	M. Gurusiddappa	"	
24.	Channappa Gowda	"	
25.	Nanjunde Gowda	"	Thurevekere
26.	B.S. Kasturi	"	Belur
27.	Veerabadrachari	"	
28.	Mallikarjunappa	"	Sidlagatta

29. Not Legible	R.A.M.	
30. M. Changappa	"	
31. Abdul Rajak	"	Chital Drug
32. Mohamed Pasha	"	
33. Not Legible	"	
34. Not Legible	"	
35. Honnappa	"	
36. not legible	"	

Copy submitted
ASGovindarajulu
12.11.40

Do No-P4592 & 10.3.41

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Dear Sir

With reference to your representation praying for reconsideration of Govt orders directing your retirement from service and for appointment of a Committee to enquire into the matter, I am desired to State that Govt consider that there are no reasons to interfere with the orders already passed in the matter.

With regard to the question of enhancement of your pension, I am to add that it is not possible to comply with your request

Yours faithfully

Subject to approval

W D 03603—GPB—15,000—8-7-35

A. S. Govindarajulu Mudaliar Esq
57. Kanakanchelli Road Mylapore
Basavanthi Nagar

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Dated Bangalore, 10th March 1941.

D. O. No. G. P 4592.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your representations praying for reconsideration of Government Orders directing your retirement from service and for appointment of a committee to enquire into the matter etc., I am desired to state that Government consider that there are no reasons to interfere with the orders already passed in the matter.

With regard to the question of enhancement of your pension, I am to add that it is not possible to comply with your request.

Yours sincerely,

A.S.Govindarajulu Mudaliar, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
57, Kankanhalli Road, Basavangudi,
Bangalore.